

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

February 4, 2003 LB 291

consistent, and essentially they are synonymous, but we wanted to use the term "assessed value" more frequently throughout the statute and that's why the second amendment is there. Technical, again, in nature. I urge the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Landis. Are there any persons wishing to discuss the committee amendments to LB 291? Seeing none, Senator Landis, you'd be recognized to close on the committee amendments. He waives closing. The question then before us is the adoption of the committee amendments to LB 291. All in favor please vote aye; opposed vote nay. Please record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the adoption of committee amendments.

SPEAKER BROMM: The committee amendments are adopted. We will then proceed with any discussion on the advancement of LB 291. I see no lights. Senator Landis, you'd be recognized again to close on the advancement of...

SENATOR LANDIS: Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I will exercise the closing to correct a misstatement on my part. The rule and reg making authority will apply...the Administrative Procedures Act will apply, rather, to the rules and reg making authority. What they won't do is they won't apply to the day-to-day operation, because that procedure requires notice that doesn't...it isn't consistent with what the TERC board wants to do, and they will be developing their own rules of procedure inside TERC. But we will use the APA for the rule-making authority, and that's consistent with all other agencies. That's a standard norm that TERC will be meeting that we ask of all other agencies, and it's appropriate to do so. With that correction of the record, I ask for the advancement of LB 291.

SPEAKER BROMM: Thank you, Senator Landis. You've heard the closing. The question then is the advancement of LB 291 to E & R Initial. All in favor please vote aye, and opposed vote nay. Please record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President, on the advancement of